BEFORE THE 1 POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD 2 STATE OF WASHINGTON 3 IN THE MATTER OF CENTER DOZING COMPANY, 4 Appellant, PCHB No. 582 5 FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, vs. 6 CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER PUGET SOUND AIR POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY, 8 Respondent. 9

THIS MATTER being an appeal of Center Dozing Company to a notice

11 of civil penalty of \$100.00 for allegedly not having taken reasonable

12 precautions to prevent airborne dust; having come on regularly for

13 nearing before the Pollution Control Hearings Board on the 23rd day

14 of August, 1974, at Seattle, Washington; and appellant, Center Dozing

15 Corpany, appearing through its employees, Terry Holmes and Leroy D. Holmes

16 and respondent, Paget Sound Air Pollution Control Agency, appearing

17 through its attorney, Keith D. McGoffin; and Board member present at

18 the nearing penny T. A. Sissberg and the Board having reviewed the

1 Itranscript of the testimony, exhibits, records and files herein and having entered on the 1st day of October, 1974, its proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order, and the Board having served said proposed Findings, Conclusions and Order upon all parties herein by certified mail, return receipt requested and twenty days having elapsed from said service; and The Board having received no exceptions to said proposed Findings,

Conclusions and Order; and the Board being fully advised in the premises; now therefore,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order, dated the 1st day of October, 1974, and incorporated by this reference herein and attached mareto as Exhibit A, are adopted and hereby entered as the Board's Final Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order herein.

DONE at Lacey, Washington, this 29th day of Colour

POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD

GISSBERG, Member

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, LUSIONS OF LAW AND OFCER

6

8

10

15

15

22

15

BEFORE THE 1 POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD STATE OF WASHINGTON 2 IN THE MATTER OF 3 CENTER DOZING COMPANY, Appellant, PCHB No. 582 5 FINDINGS OF FACT, ٧. 6 CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER PUGET SOUND AIR POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY, 8 Respondent. 9

A formal hearing on the appeal of Center Dozing Company to a notice of civil penalty of \$100.00 for allegedly not having taken reasonable precautions to prevent airborne dust, came on before Board member U. A. Gissberg on August 23, 1974 in Seattle, Washington.

Appellant appeared by and through its employees, Terry Holmes and large D. Holmes; respondent appeared by and through its attorney, Keith D. McGoffin.

Faving reviewed the transcript of the testimony and the exhibits and being fully advised, the Board makes the following

## EXHIBIT A

## FINDINGS OF FACT

I.

Respondent, pursuant to Section 5, chapter 69, Laws of 1974, 3rd Ex. Sess., has filed with this Board a certified copy of its Regulation I containing respondent's regulations and amendments thereto.

II.

Section 9.15 of respondent's Regulation I makes it unlawful to cause or permit a building or its appurtenances to be demolished without taking "reasonable precautions" to prevent particulant matter from becoming airborne. Section 1.07(w) defines particulant matter as any material, except water, in an uncombined form that is airborne and exists as a liquid or a solid.

III.

On April 2, 1974 appellant commenced the work of demolishing a fulti-floored building at 1142 Commerce Street, Tacoma, Washington. In order to prevent the dust created by such an activity, appellant had previously secured the permission of the City of Tacoma to use water from its hydrant for "watering" the structure. The use of water is a normal method used as a precaution against dust during the demolition of buildings.

IV.

All went well with the watering and demolition of the structure until the evening of April 3, 1974 at which time water from the hydrant source became unavailable because of a broken hydrant hose connection. Appellant, having knowledge that water was not available to it, nonetheless continued wrecking the building on the forming of April 4, FINDINGS OF FACT,

COMPLUSIONS OF LAW AND OFFER

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

21

2

complaint, observed dust spread from the scene of the work to the adjacent buildings and the public street, as a result of which he caused Notice of Violation No. 9629 to be issued and personally served upon appellant's agent, followed by Notice of Civil Penalty No. 1510, served upon appellant by registered mail.

٧.

Í

About 11:45 a.m., appellant obtained a new valve and immediately installed it, thus making its dust watering device operable.

Nothwithstanding its use of water and the commencement of rainfall, the dust continued to rise from the scene of the demolition work to such an extent that vehicles using the adjacent street were required to turn on their headlights.

VI.

Appellant was paying \$650.00 per day for the rental of an 80 foot crame and "clam shall" being used by it in the demolition of the building. Appellant was generally aware of respondent's dust regulations and had received a warning from respondent at a time and place not connected with the subject appeal.

VII.

Any Conclusion of Law hereinafter recited which should be deemed a 12 Finding of Fact is hereby adopted as such.

From these Findings, the Pollution Control Hearings Board comes to these

25

46

6

7

8

9

11

12

1:

15

.3

18

٠.5

FINDINGS OF FACT,
OCCIDENSIONS OF LAW AND OPDER 3

1 I. Appellant, having permitted the demolition of the building to be 3 continued notwithstanding the fact that the use by it of its watering device could not be utilized, violated respondent's Regulation I. II. 6 Respondent has the legal authority to impose a civil penalty in the waxirum amount of \$250.00. Under the circumstances, the imposition of a S100.00 penalty is reasonable. III. 10 Any Finding of Fact which should be deemed a Conclusion of Law is 11 nereby adopted as such. Accordingly, it is the Board's 10 ORDER The imposition of the civil penalty in the amount of \$100.00 is affirmed. DONE at Lacey, Washington this at day of October POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD 1.5 WOODWARD. CHRIS SMITH,

LAW AND ORDER

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

25